TOWN OF EAST GREENBUSH PLANNING BOARD

TOWN HALL, 225 COLUMBIA TURNPIKE, RENSSELAER, NY 12144 (518)477-2005 FAX (518)477-2386

MEMORANDUM

PLANNING BOARD MEETING AGENDA SEPTMEBER 28, 2022 MEETING WILL BE HELD IN THE COMMUNITY ROOM AT TOWN HALL

7:00PM CALL TO ORDER/DETERMINATION OF QUORUM:

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

NONE

OLD BUSINESS:

- (14-11a) Rysedorph-Olcott Lane-Major 27 Lot Cluster Subdivision- Recommendation to Town Board regarding proposed offer of infrastructure
- (20-18) <u>Immanuel Church-4 Onderdonk Avenue-Major Site Plan</u> *SEQRA Determination, Major Site Plan* recommendation to Town Board-TENTATIVE

NEW BUSINESS:

- (19-26a) <u>Regeneron-26 Tech Valley Drive Minor Site Plan Modification</u> *Presentation, SEQRA Classification, Review for Conditional Approval*
- (22-11a) Forty Iroquois LLC.- 40 Iroquois Place Minor Site Plan Modification and Special Use Permit Presentation, SEQRA Classification, Accept Sketch Plan, Schedule Public Hearing
- (22-15) <u>Papa Brillos-9 Troy Road-Minor Site Plan Modification</u>- *Presentation, SEQRA Classification, Review for Conditional Approval*
- (22-16) <u>Target -625 Third Avenue Ext. Minor PDD Amendment</u> *Presentation, SEQRA Classification, Accept Sketch Plan, Schedule Public Hearing*

NEW ZBA REPORTS:

ZBA Appeal #2022-08-Regeneron-81 Columbia Turnpike-Area Variance-Elevator Height —report by Matt Mastin

ZBA Appeal #2022-09-Gregware-10 Kitty Court-2 Area Variances-Garage & Deck-Rear setbacks *–report by John Conway Jr.*

NEW ZBA REFERRALS:

NONE

REVIEW & APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES:

September 14, 2022 meeting minutes

^{*}To view application materials use this link: *https://www.eastgreenbush.org/departments/planning-zoning/apps

RYSEDORPH CLUSTER SUBDIVISION PZD 14-11a SEPTEMBER 28, 2022

RECOMMENDATION TO THE TOWN BOARD THAT THE STREETS AND OTHER REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS BE ACCEPTED

WHEREAS, the Town Planning Board did grant conditional final plat approval of the <u>Rysedorph Cluster Subdivision</u> on June 13, 2018 for the 27 lots in the Town of East Greenbush prepared by <u>C.T. Male Associates</u>, dated <u>May 24, 2018</u> (the "Plans"); and

WHEREAS, the approved Plans required the installation of certain improvements, including roadway, water supply, sanitary sewer, stormwater drainage, pedestrian, and other infrastructure (the "Required Improvements"); and

WHEREAS, the Town's Designated Engineer, (GPI – Greenman Pedersen Inc.) has conducted regular inspections of the construction of the Required Improvements as required by the Planning Board, as shown on the Plans, and as specified by Town requirements and in accordance with engineering best practices; and

WHEREAS, the Town's Designated Engineer has certified, in writing, to the Commissioner of Public Works, Planning Board, and Town Board that they have supervised such improvements and that the completed Required Improvements conform to all approved plans and specifications of the Town; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Public Works has approved in writing that all of the Required Improvements have been completed; and

WHEREAS the developer, at the discretion of the Commissioner of Public Works, has provided a Cash Bond in accordance with the Town of East Greenbush Town Code for the Required Improvements. Said Cash Bond, in the amount of \$110,000.00, has been provided in an amount and form acceptable to the Commissioner of Public Works and the Town Attorney; and

WHEREAS the developer has submitted written offers of cession for all improvements and interest in all land areas within the Public Right-of-Way to be dedicated to the Town of East Greenbush, including, but not limited to roadways, water and sewer systems, drainage ways and easements; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the East Greenbush Planning Board hereby recommends, in accordance with Section 36-25 of the Town Code, to the Town Board that the streets and other Required Improvements be accepted, subject to the following conditions:

PROJECT	NAME:	RYSEDROPH	CLUSTER	SUBDIVISION
DATE:				

- 1. That the Developer reimburses the Town for all costs and expenses associated with the Planning Board's consultants and inspections of all Required Improvements.
- 2. The Developer, as soon as practicable complete the Required Improvements identified as having been incomplete as specified in and as of the date of the Town's designated engineer certification letter, dated February 24, 2022 which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.
- 3. The Developer must maintain sufficient financial security with the Town in a form acceptable to the Town until such time as all Required Improvements are completed, certified, and approved in accordance with the Town's requirements, including the required one-year maintenance period.



PROJECT NAME: RYSEDROPH CLUSTER SUBDIVISION DATE: ____



Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 3 Columbia Circle Suite 6, Albany NY 12203-5158

September 27, 2022 File: 195115172

Attention: Anna Feltham, Town Planner

Town of East Greenbush 225 Columbia Turnpike Rensselaer, NY 12144

Reference: Immanuel Church Final Design Review #3

Dear Ms. Feltham,

The Applicant for the above referenced project has submitted the following materials as part of the Final Site Plan Application:

- Response to Comments Letter, a 2-page letter, dated September 21, 2022, prepared by Hart Engineering
- Site Plans, last revised 8/24/2022, prepared by Hart Engineering
- Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, last revised 8/25/2022, prepared by Hart Engineering
- Stormwater Management Facilities Maintenance and Easement Agreement (draft), prepared by Hart Engineering

At the Town's request, Stantec has conducted a technical review of the above referenced documents. The submission was reviewed for conformance to the applicable Town of East Greenbush General Code, NYSDEC requirements for stormwater and erosion control and accepted engineering standard practices.

The project consists of the construction of up to a 12,000 sf church building with associated parking at 4 Onderdonk Avenue. The Applicant's engineer has substantially addressed the prior letters comments. If the Planning and Town Board are considering approval at next month's meeting it is recommended that the following conditions of approval be incorporated:

- The applicant has stated that the Town will have an access easement across the whole
 parcel for the Town to perform stormwater practice inspections and/or maintenance if the
 Town deems the owner to be negligent of maintenance responsibilities. The easements
 shall be provided to the Town Attorney for review and approval prior to issuance of a
 building permit.
- 2. The Onderdonk dead end turnaround easement shall be provided and approved by the Town Attorney prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 3. The draft stormwater maintenance agreement shall be finalized prior to issuance of the certificate of occupancy.
- 4. The State Historic Preservation Office has issued a conditional No Adverse Impact that the Applicant provide additional architectural renderings and details once designed. Final



September 27, 2022 Anna Feltham, Town Planner Page 2 of 2

Reference: Immanuel Church Final Design Review #3

review and sign off from SHPO shall be provided to the Town prior to issuance of a building permit.

- 5. The Town Department of Public Works (DPW) has reviewed the layout of the large diameter water service. Any adjustments to the diameter of this water service shall be coordinated with the DPW and requested as part of the water service application.
- 6. A New York State Department of Transportation Permit shall be acquired prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 7. Construction access shall be through the new driveway entrance located on Columbia Turnpike. Construction access through Onderdonk Avenue shall be restricted to local work being performed in this area.
- 8. The overflow parking area shall not be constructed without obtaining an additional building permit with justification to disturb this area. Future disturbance will require additional erosion and sediment controls.
- 9. Areas shown to be dedicated to adjacent landowners are optional to the adjoining residents. If these residents do not wish to obtain the additional lands as shown on plan X020, the lands shall remain within the bounds of the church lot.

These comments constitute Stantec's review of the Final Design submission. All technical issues have been addressed by the Applicant's Engineer. If the Applicant or Town have any comments or questions, please call at your convenience.

Regards,

STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.

Garrett Frueh, PE, ENV SP

Project Manager Phone: (518) 218-5847

Fax: (518) 452-9234

Garrett.Frueh@stantec.com

Troy Wojciekofsky, PE, LEED AP, ENV SP

Senior Project Manager

Cell: (845) 594-1529

<u>Troy.Wojciekofsky@stantec.com</u>

fg u:\195115172\7_record\sent\20220926_final_design_review #3\20220926_immanuel church prelim review letter_signed.docx

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project : Date :

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NC) -	YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d			
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f			
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a			
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a			
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e			
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q			
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli			
h. Other impacts:				

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	ıt □ NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□ NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
 The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action. 	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h		
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d		

wastewater treatment facilities.

1. Other impacts:			
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□ NC) [YES
ij Tes , unswer questions a n. ij 110 , move on to section 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	□NC) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	□ NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	□NO	□ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. ar	nd b.)	□ NO	□ YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.			
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	Part I	small impact	to large impact may
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	O 🗆 YES		
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c	_ _	_ _
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g		

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f		
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	□NO) 🗆	YES
•	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.) –	YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j)	s. 🗆 No	O 🗖	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
	1		•
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	□Nº	O 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k		
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k		
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g		
e. Other Impacts:			
[12]			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC) 🗆	YES
J ,	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d		

c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.

D2o

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	
f. Other impacts:		

16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure \square NO \square YES to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17. Relevant Moderate No,or Part I small to large **Ouestion(s)** impact impact may may cccur occur a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day E1d П П care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community. Elg, Elh b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation. Elg, Elh П c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action. Elg, Elh d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the П property (e.g., easement or deed restriction). e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place Elg, Elh П to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health. D2t f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health. g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste D2q, E1f П management facility. D2q, E1f h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste. П D2r, D2s i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste. j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of E1f, E1g a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste. E1h E1f, E1g k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill П П site to adjacent off site structures. D2s, E1f, 1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the D2r project site. m. Other impacts:

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.	□NO		YES .
ij Tes , answer questions a n. ij Tio , go to section 10.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
<u> </u>			
19. Consistency with Community Character			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	□ NO)	/ES
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

Project : Date :

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
 occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
 there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
 environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

	Determination of 6	lianifi aanaa T	Franc 1 and IIn	listed Astions	
	Determination of S	significance -	Type I and Un	nstea Actions	
SEQR Status:	☐ Type 1	☐ Unlisted			
Identify portions of EA	AF completed for this Project:	□ Part 1	□ Part 2	□ Part 3	
					FEAF 2019

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information	
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the as lead agency that:	
☐ A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	
☐ B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:	
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).	
☐ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.	
Name of Action:	
Name of Lead Agency:	
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	
Title of Responsible Officer:	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:
For Further Information:	
Contact Person:	
Address:	
Telephone Number:	
E-mail:	
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:	
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html	

TOWN OF EAST GREENBUSH TOWN PLANNING BOARD RESOLUTION SEPTEMBER 29, 2022

A Resolution to Recommend that the Town Board of the Town of East Greenbush Issue a Major Site Plan Approval for Immanuel Church (PZD File #20-18)

MOTION: A motion was made by Chairman Mastin as follows:

WHEREAS, the East Greenbush Town Planning Board ("Planning Board") is in receipt of an application for a major site plan and lot line adjustment by 4 Onderdonk LLC ("Owner") and Hart Engineering, authorized representative of the Owner to construct an approximately 12,000 square foot building, dependent on funding, at minimum to construct a 9,600 square foot building, for use as a church and related improvements ("the Project") located at 4 Onderonk Ave., Town of East Greenbush, New York. Tax Parcel No. SBL: 155.17-16.1; and

WHEREAS, the project is an unlisted action under the State Environmental Quality Review Act and the Town Board declared itself lead agency for the review of the project on October 20, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board of the Town of East Greenbush has reviewed the application and related materials, including the site plan entitled "Immanuel Church" 17 sheets in total as prepared by <u>Hart Engineering</u> dated January 1, 2022 most recently revised August 24, 2022; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has also review the Long EAF and accompanying correspondence and reports addressing potential environmental impacts of the project; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board did conduct a public hearing on August 10, 2022 on said major site plan, at which time members of the public were invited to speak either in favor of, or in opposition to this application; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board has carefully considered the comments of and recommendation of its Town Designated Engineer, Stantec, as well as the responses to those comments and recommendations provided by the Applicant;

now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Town Planning Board hereby recommends that the Town Board issue a negative declaration finding that the proposed application for major site plan application and lot line adjustment for the Project will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts;

and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Town Planning Board hereby recommends that the Town Board approve the major site plan application as set forth in the Site Plans entitled, "Immanuel Church" 17 sheets in total as prepared by <u>Hart Engineering</u> dated January 1, 2022 most recently revised August 24, 2022, subject to the following conditions:

- 1. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the Applicant must address any remaining technical comments from the Town's Planning and Zoning Department.
- 2. The applicant has stated that the Town will have an access easement across the whole parcel for the Town to perform stormwater practice inspections and/or maintenance if the Town deems the owner to be negligent of maintenance responsibilities. The easements shall be provided to the Town Attorney for review and approval prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 3. The Onderdonk Ave driveway or 'hammerhead' measuring 34' x 40'easement shall be provided and approved by the Town Attorney prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 4. Prior to issuance of a building permit, final review and sign off from New York State Parks, Recreation & Historic Preservation ("SHPO") is required to be provided to the Town's Planning and Zoning Department. SHPO's review letter, dated September 14, 2022, determined that the project will have No Adverse Impact on historic resources with the condition that the Division for Historic Preservation and Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation have another chance to review the project when architectural details become available.
- 5. Prior to issuance of a building permit, all remaining fees and escrow must be paid to the Town, including land development mitigation fees required in connection with the Western East Greenbush Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) as detail in the GEIS fee statement provided by the Town.
- 6. The plans must be signed by the Planning Board Chairperson and the Commissioner of Public Works.
- 7. A Town of East Greenbush Department of Public Works highway work permit must be obtained for all work within the Town's right-of-way.
- 8. A Department of Public Works Utility Permit, as determined by the Commissioner of Public Works, must be obtained for any work involving connection to the Town's sanitary and/or water supply systems.
- 9. The Town Department of Public Works (DPW) has reviewed the layout of the large diameter water service. Any adjustments to the diameter of this water service shall be coordinated with the DPW and request as part of the water service application.

- 10. A NYSDOT highway work permit must be obtained for all work within the NYS right-of-way.
- 11. Construction access shall be through the new driveway entrance located on Columbia Turnpike. Construction access through Onderdonk Ave shall be restricted to local work being performed in this area.
- 12. Site development must be in accordance with USFWS and NYSDEC guidelines for the conservation and protection of the northern long eared bat, including time-of-year restrictions for the removal of trees between April 1 and October 31.
- 13. Prior to site work and/or issuance of a building permit, all storm water pollution prevention permits must be obtained. Required periodic Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) inspections must be performed during the permit term by the design engineer or other qualified individual in accordance with the GP-0-15-002, the approved SWPPP, and the Town's Comprehensive Zoning Law.
- 14. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, the property owner or 4 Onderdonk LLC must enter into a Stormwater Facility Maintenance Agreement with the Town to ensure proper maintenance of all stormwater facilities in perpetuity.
- 15. The overflow parking area shall not be constructed without obtaining an additional building permit with justification to disturb this area. Future disturbance will require additional erosion and sediment controls.
- 16. Areas shown to be dedicated to adjacent landowners are option to the adjoining residents. If these residents do not wish to obtain the additional lands as shown on plan sheet X020, the lands shall remain within the bounds of the existing parcel boundary.

REGENERON 26 TECH VALLEY DRIVE MINOR SITE PLAN MODIFICATION SEPTEMBER 28, 2022

MOTION FOR CONDITIONAL APPROVAL (19-26a):

MOTION: A motion was made by Chairman Mastin as follows: The Town of East Greenbush Planning Board hereby determines this action to be a Type II SEQRA action in accordance with 6 CRR-NY 617.5(c)(9) "construction or expansion of a primary or accessory/appurtenant, non-residential structure or facility involving less than 4,000 square feet of gross floor area and not involving a change in zoning or a use variance and consistent with local land use controls, but not radio communication or microwave transmission facilities", and grants conditional approval of the Site Plan Modification as depicted on the plans prepared by Hart Engineering and dated 6/2/2022 subject to the following:

- Satisfying outstanding technical details as determined by the Town Designated Engineers and Town Planning Department; and
- All remaining fees are paid to the Town.

FORTY IROQUOIS PLACE SITE PLAN MODIFICATION & SPECIAL USE PERMIT 40 IROQUOIS PLACE SEPTEMBER 28, 2022

MOTION to ACCEPT SKETCH PLAN (22-11a)

MOTION: A motion was made by Chairman Mastin as follows: **The Town of East Greenbush Planning Board hereby**;

- 1. Determines this action to be a Type II SEQRA action in accordance with 6 CRR-NY 617.5(c)(9) "construction or expansion of a primary or accessory/appurtenant, non-residential structure or facility involving less than 4,000 square feet of gross floor area and not involving a change in zoning or a use variance and consistent with local land use controls, but not radio communication or microwave transmission facilities", and grants conditional approval of the Site Plan Modification as depicted on the plans prepared by Hart Engineering dated 5/16/22, most recently revised September 6, 2022;
- 2. Accepts the sketch plan prepared by <u>Hart Engineering</u> dated 5/16/22, most recently revised September 6, 2022.

MOTION to SCHEDULE PUBLIC HEARING SPECIAL USE PERMIT (22-11a)

MOTION: A motion was made by Chairman Mastin as follows: The Town of East Greenbush Planning Board hereby schedules a Public Hearing related to the proposed Special Use Permit for October 12, 2022 at the East Greenbush Town Hall at 7:00PM.

PAPA BRILLOS MINOR SITE PLAN MODIFICATION 9 TROY ROAD SEPTEMBER 28, 2022

MOTION to CONDITIONALLY APPROVE (22-15)

MOTION: A motion was made by Chairman Mastin as follows: The Town of East Greenbush Planning Board hereby:

- 1. Classifies this action as a Type II SEQR action in accordance with 6 CRR-NY 617.5 Type II Actions (C)(18) "reuse of a residential or commercial structure, or of a structure containing mixed residential and commercial uses, where the residential or commercial use is a permitted use under the applicable zoning law or ordinance"; and
- 2. Grants conditional final approval of the proposed Site Plan Modification as depicted on the revised site plan prepared by FRIENDLY ICE CREAM CORPORATION dated June 30, 1983, and subject to the following conditions:
 - Satisfying outstanding technical details as determined by the Town Planning and Zoning Department
 - All remaining fees are paid to the Town.

End of Motion

TARGET 625 THIRD AVE EXTENSION MINOR PDD AMENDMENT SEPTEMBER 28, 2022

MOTION to ACCEPT SKETCH and SCHEDULE PUBLIC HEARING (22-16)

A motion was made by Chairman Mastin as follows: The Town of East Greenbush Planning Board hereby;

- 1. Acknowledges proposed action as a Minor Planned Development District (PDD) Amendment per letter provided by Code Enforcement Officer, dated September 20, 2022 and in accordance with Comprehensive Zoning Law Section 2.9.12; and
- 2. Classifies this action as a Type II SEQRA action in accordance with 6 CRR-NY 617.5 (9) "construction or expansion of a primary or accessory/appurtenant, non-residential structure or facility involving less than 4,000 square feet of gross floor area and not involving a change in zoning or a use variance and consistent with local land use controls, but not radio communication or microwave transmission facilities": and
- 3. In accordance with CZL Section 2.9.12 schedules a Public Hearing for October 12, 2022 at the East Greenbush Town Hall at 7:05 PM.

End of Motion